IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CASE NO.: **CWP No.6887 of 2006**
DATE OF DECISION: November 10, 2006

SUDHIR KUMAR ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH MOHUNTA.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE NIRMAL YADAV.

PRESENT: MR. O.P. HOSHIARPURI, ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER.
MR. C.M. MUNJAL, SR.ADDL.A.G., PUNJAB.
MR. VIKAS KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENT NO.4.

ASHUTOSH MOHUNTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order, Annexure P-2 passed by the Financial Commissioner Appeals, Punjab dated 9.1.2006, by which it has been ordered that fresh proclamation be made with regard to appointment of Lambardar. Counsel for the petitioner has vehemently argued that proclamation had been done in accordance with law, therefore, there is no ground to order that a fresh proclamation be made.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that the process of appointment of Lambardar is at the initial stage only. No orders have been passed by any quasi-judicial authority appointing any person as Lambardar. Thus, the Financial Commissioner has rightly ordered that fresh proclamation be made and the appointment of Lambardar be done after the proclamation.

There is no illegality in the impugned orders and accordingly the writ petition is dismissed.

(ASHUTOSH MOHUNTA)
JUDGE

November 10, 2006
Gulati

(NIRMAL YADAV)
JUDGE